



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,978		6/2003	Stephen Maxwell Parkes	85170-4800	4765	
28765	7590 04/18/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
WINSTON	& STRAW	N LLP	CHANG, DANIEL D			
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2819		
				DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/679,978	PARKES, STEPHEN MAXWELL			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel D. Chang	2819			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 February 2005.				
		This action is non-final.				
•	, 					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 39-41</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>38 and 42</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10) 🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2005</u> Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ o the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)⊠ / a)[2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/04,1/05,2/05</u> .		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2819

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(i) & 37 CFR 1.84(p) because lines, numbers & letters are not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, durable, and black (poor line quality). Also, some characters are too small.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,978

Art Unit: 2819

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationship is a connection between arrays of logic-gates or logic-blocks and an on-chip packet-switching network.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Agrawal et al. (US 6,191,612 B1).

Regarding claim 38, Agrawal et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, an integrated circuit comprising: a plurality of logic areas (VGB); and

an actively switchable network (SwBx; col. 6, lines 57+) selectively connecting one logic area with another logic area.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boettle et al. (US 4,903,260).

Regarding claim 42, Boettle et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, an integrated circuit (col. 3, lines 1+) having an architecture comprising arrays of logic-gates or logic-blocks (61, 62) and an on-chip packet-switching network (K, PK1, PK2), wherein a packet comprises a group of signals

including a header (col. 1, lines 55+) that contains a location of a destination functional block (an inherent destination circuit).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-37 and 39-41 are allowable over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 38, Applicant argues, on page 12 of the Amendment filed January 27, 2005 that "an actively switchable network in the context of the present invention is a network that includes communication resources that are actively shared between many logic area, and where logical connections switch rapidly according to the communication needs at any particular time of the logic areas sharing the communication resource". However, the limitations of which the Applicant relies (i.e., "communication resources that are actively shared between many logic area, and where logical connections switch rapidly according to the communication needs at any particular time of the logic areas sharing the communication resource") are not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. *Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc.*, 7 USPQ2d 1064.

Furthermore, as for the recitation, "actively switchable network", it is noted that "the PTO applies to the verbiage of the proposed claims the broadest reasonable meaning of the

Art Unit: 2819

words in their ordinary usage as they would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art," *In re Morris*, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Applicant's argument with respect to claim 42 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Tokar can be reached on (571) 272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel D. Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819

> DANIEL CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER